

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 3, 2001

LB 797

additional budgetary needs. There no doubt would. And these may well have been things that a school could not anticipate. So the funding of it would be, for example, they could borrow money to...to fund it, but...and certainly, as you went, you know, another year, if in fact the enrollment continued, then...or the special needs students, for example, continued, then that could be reflected in the normal budgeting process. But...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...it...it's meant to deal with those kinds of emergencies and deal with it in a fashion that allows reasonable accounting in the budget for those kinds of expenses, but it does not allow an adjustment of the tax request.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: And funding. So they'd either have to have it in reserve or, as you said, I can see a special ed student might be one of those, although I don't know how many special ed students go to Class I districts anymore. But what I was kind of interested, there has been some tension about who approves budgets of Class I's versus the high school district, if you will, and I was wondering how this was going to interface, is what I was after.

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, it's a good question and really I think this provision would not change the way that...the way that is done now. It would...it would change only to the extent that it would allow for a...sort of an unforeseen or special circumstance to be accommodated after what is now the deadline.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Raikes, there are no further lights. Do you wish to close on the committee amendments to LB 797?

SENATOR RAIKES: I believe I'll waive closing, Senator.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Raikes waives closing. The question before the body is, shall the committee amendments be adopted to